1 /

ORDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

KEVIN G. JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH, et al.,

Defendant.

Case No. C05-5751 RBL/KLS

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

NOTED FOR: JUNE 30, 2006

This civil rights action has been referred to the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge Karen L. Strombom pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local MJR 3 and 4. Plaintiff was given leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Plaintiff commenced this action, naming twelve defendants in a complaint that was four pages long. On January 12, 2006, plaintiff filed an amended complaint, which he was ordered to amend.

## DISCUSSION

Plaintiff's first amended complaint was over fifty pages long and was so convoluted that the court was unable to determine how many persons were named as defendants and what causes of action were alleged against which defendants. Plaintiff was ordered to file a second amended complaint by February 27, 2006. (Dkt. # 9). A series of mis-filings, transfers, motions without

notice, filings crossing in the mail, and extensions followed. (*See, e.g.*, Dkts. # 5, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 18).

In light of plaintiff's reported transfers between facilities and to allow plaintiff sufficient time to comply with this court's order to file a second amended complaint, plaintiff's original deadline was extended from February 27, 2006 until June 1, 2006. Plaintiff was advised that if he did not comply with the court's order to amend by that deadline, his case would be dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution. Plaintiff has failed to amend his complaint and he has failed to request an extension of his deadline to amend.

## **CONCLUSION**

Plaintiff was ordered to amend his complaint. (Dkt. # 21). Plaintiff has not complied with the court's order, nor has he sought an extension of the deadline. The court recommends the action be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. A proposed order accompanies this Report and Recommendation.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties shall have ten (10) days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file written objections. *See also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Rule 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on **June 30**, **2006**, as noted in the caption.

DATED this 12th day of June, 2006.

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge

**ORDER**